



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/281,421	03/30/1999	GURUDUTH SOMASEKHARA BANAVAR	YO998-525	7217

7590

08/14/2002

KEVIN P RADIGAN
HESLIN & ROTHENBERG
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE
ALBANY, NY 12203

EXAMINER

HO, CHUONG T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2664

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/281,421

Applicant(s)

Banavar et al.

Examiner

Ho

Art Unit

2664

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2664

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2, 24-26, 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandra et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,091,724) in view of Rochberger et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,272,107 B1).

In the claims 1, 24, 49, Chandra et al. discloses the system includes receiving a message; and routing the message to a subset of client of the network. The subset include less than all of the clients of the network, and the routing is irrespective of any destination information that may be within the message (see col. 2, lines 48-52). The system includes a router being adapted to receive a message and being further adapted to determine, based on the data content of the message, zero or more links over which the message is to be sent. The determining is irrespective of any destination information that may be within the message (see col. 3, lines 14-18); comprising:

- ◆ receiving a message; and routing message to one or more clients of network, routing being based on data content of message irrespective of any destination information that may be within message (see col. 2, lines 48-52, col. 3, lines 14-18).

Art Unit: 2664

However, Chandra et al. does not disclose being resilient to router or link failure within network.

Rockhberger et al. discloses a system of path restoration in an ATM network which attempts to minimize the loss of cells in the event a node or a link fails ... The system permits the constructions of an ATM network which includes call path redundancy whereby if a node or link fails, another path meeting the requirements of the call (if one exists) will be used on an automatic basis (see abstract); comprising:

- ◆ being resilient to router or link failure within network (see abstract, col. 4, lines 50-52, lines 56-67).

Given the teaching of Rockhberger, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Chandra's system to being resilient to router or link failure within network in order to guarantee the message to be received by all subscriber.

3. In the claims 2, 25, 26, Chandra discloses network comprises a publish/subscribe system supporting content-based subscription, one or more clients comprise subscribers, and wherein routing comprises delivering message to all subscribers requesting a uniform delivery quality of service or if unable to deliver message to all of subscribers requesting uniform delivery, delivering message to none of subscribers requesting uniform delivery (see col. 7, lines 25-30).

4. Claims 3-15, 27-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined system of Chandra-Rochberger in view of Wakeland (U.S. Patent No. 6,101,192).

Art Unit: 2664

In the claims 3, 27, the combined system of Chandra-Rochberger discloses the limitations of claim 2 above.

However, the combined system of Chandra-Rochberger does not disclose storing message to persistent storage at a logging node of network prior to providing message to subscribers requesting delivery.

Wakeland discloses a network router is presented for transferring data between multiple communication networks. The network router has a network interface unit (NIU) for each communication network the router is coupled to, and a single memory unit for storing the data (see abstract). Network routers temporarily store received data within one or more data buffers before retransmitting the data (see col. 2, lines 18-19); comprising:

- ◆ receiving a message; logging the message to persistent storage within the routing network; and after logging, delivering message to one or more clients of network, wherein logging to persistent storage prior to delivering of message to one or more clients of network (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

Given the teaching of Wakeland, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combined system of Chandra-Rochberger to log the message of the network prior to providing message to subscribers in order to recover the message when one or more links failed in the network. The combined system would have been improved the quality of service.

Art Unit: 2664

5. In the claims 4, 28, Wakeland discloses logging message at least one logging node within network before delivering message to one or more clients of network, logging comprising storing message in persistent storage (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

6. In the claims 5, 29, Wakeland discloses subsequent to logging of message, sending a logging acknowledgment to at least one router of network routing message, upon receipt of logging acknowledgment at least one router, delivering message to a client thereof, client requiring delivering and comprising one client of one or more clients (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

7. In the claims 6, 30, Rochberger et al. discloses buffering message at least one router of network routing message, buffering occurring prior to storing of message at persistent storage and when passing message through at least one router to at least one logging node (see abstract, col. 4, lines 50-52, lines 56-67).

8. In the claims 7, 31, Wakeland discloses a plurality of routers coupled together, one of routers comprising logging node having persistent storage associated therewith, logging comprising employing logging node having persistent storage associated therewith to store message and to thereafter send logging acknowledgment back to each router of network responsible for routing message (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

9. In the claims 8, 32, Wakeland discloses network comprises a spanning tree and wherein method further comprises providing a logging node within spanning tree for logging message to

Art Unit: 2664

persistent storage during routing of message to one or more client of network (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

10. In the claims 9, 33, Wakeland discloses logging of message to persistent storage to ensure a delivery quality of service of message to one or more clients of network notwithstanding failure of one or more routers or links within network (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

11. In the claims 10, 34, Rochberger et al. discloses detecting failure of a router within tree before completing routing of message to one or more clients of network, reconfiguring tree to replace failed router with a new router, and automatically generating a request for retransmission of message (see abstract, col. 4, lines 50-52, lines 56-67).

12. In the claims 11, 35, Wakeland discloses logging message within persistent storage of network and issuing a logging acknowledgment confirming storage of message to at least one router of tree through which message is routed to one or more clients (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

13. In the claims 12, 13, 36, 37, Rochberger et al. discloses automatically generating request for retransmission of message occurs if new router detects from one or more of its child routers a logging number associated with message, logging number having been received in logging acknowledgment confirming storage of message (see abstract, col. 4, lines 50-52, lines 56-67).

14. In the claims 13, 38, Rochberger et al. discloses automatically informing a sender of message when the message has been lost within the network to allow the sender to retransmit

Art Unit: 2664

message for routing to one or more clients of network so that message is delivered at least once to one or more clients (see abstract, col. 4, lines 50-52, lines 56-67).

15. In the claim 15, Wakeland discloses logging message at least one logging node within network before delivering message to one or more client of network, storing message into persistent storage, and wherein method further comprises subsequent to logging of message, sending a logging acknowledgment to at least one router of network routing message, and upon receipt of logging acknowledgment at least one router of network routing message, looking up routing information for message from a message table maintained at least one router, then sending logging acknowledgement across network using looked up routing information, and thereafter deleting routing information from message table (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

16. Claims 16-23, 39-46, 47, 48, 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakeland (U.S. Patent No. 6,101,192) in view of Rochberger (U.S. Patent 6,272,107 B1).

In claims 16, 39, 47, 48, 50, Wakeland discloses a network router is presented for transferring data between multiple communication networks. The network router has a network interface unit (NIU) for each communication network the router is coupled to, and a single memory unit for storing the data (see abstract). Network routers temporarily store received data within one or more data buffers before retransmitting the data (see col. 2, lines 18-19); comprising:

Art Unit: 2664

- ◆ receiving a message; logging the message to persistent storage within the routing network; and after logging, delivering message to one or more clients of network, wherein logging to persistent storage prior to delivering of message to one or more clients of network (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

However, Wakeland does not disclose resiliency to routing notwithstanding router or link failure within network.

Rockhberger et al. discloses a system of path restoration in an ATM network which attempts to minimize the loss of cells in the event a node or a link fails ... The system permits the constructions of an ATM network which includes call path redundancy whereby if a node or link fails, another path meeting the requirements of the call (if one exists) will be used on an automatic basis (see abstract); comprising:

- ◆ being resilient to router or link failure within network (see abstract, col. 4, lines 50-52, lines 56-67).

Given the teaching of Rockhberger, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Wakeland's system to being resilient to router or link failure within network in order to guarantee the message to be received by all subscriber.

17. In the claims 17, 40, Wakeland discloses storing message in persistent storage at a logging node within routing network before delivery of message to one or more clients of network (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

Art Unit: 2664

18. In the claims 18, 41, Wakeland discloses sending a logging acknowledgment to at least one router of network routing message after logging of message to persistent storage, and upon receipt of logging acknowledgment at least one router of network routing message, delivering message to a client thereof, client thereof requiring delivering and comprising one client of one or more clients (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

19. In the claims 19, 42, Wakeland discloses spanning tree and whether method further comprises providing a logging node within spanning tree for logging of message to persistent storage during routing of message to one or more clients of network (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

20. In the claims 20, 43, Wakeland discloses logging of message to persistent storage to ensure a delivery quality of service of message to one or more clients of network notwithstanding failure of one or more routers or links within network (see abstract, see col. 2, lines 18-19, col. 3, lines 45-50, figure 5).

21. In the claims 21-22, 44-45, Rochberger et al. discloses a spanning tree having a plurality of routers, method further comprising detect failure of a router within tree before completing routing of message to one or more clients of network, thereafter reconfiguring tree to replace failed router with a new router, and automatically generating a request for retransmission of message from persistent storage (see abstract, col. 4, lines 50-52, lines 56-67).

22. In the claims 23, 46, Rochberger et al. discloses automatically informing a sender of message when message has been lost within the routing network to allow the sender to retransmit

Application Number: 09/411,012

Art Unit: 2664

the message for routing to one or more clients of network so that message is delivering at least one of one or more clients (see abstract, col. 4, lines 50-52, lines 56-67).

Conclusion


23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong Ho whose telephone number is (703)306-4529. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am to 3pm.

24. If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington, Chin, can be reached on (703)305-4633.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be direct to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

CH

Date 08-05-02.



WELLINGTON CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600